

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/856,296 07/13/2001 Tsutomu Minami 2001-0631A 6695 513 7590 11/10/2004 EXAMINER WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. JOHNSON, EDWARD M 2033 K STREET N. W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 1754

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action			
	09/856,296 Examiner	MINAMI ET AL.	
	Edward M. Johnson	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply often than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension or initially set in the final Office action; or	1
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	nuse it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an vor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			Ì
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. ☐ Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/856,296

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would add a recitation of the ratio of organic polymer to composite oxide, which would be a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that the claims as amended would be allowable over the cited prior art. This is not persuasive because the amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features of the proposed amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims ar interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

STANLEY B. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700